**General Feedback on SWP 01**

* Follow the formatting requirements and make it consistent
* Number the pages in the footer
* Round the decimal points up to 2 or 3 max
* Number figures and tables
* Try avoiding taking screenshots directly from R, you can put them in a graph or table in Word or using any other program.
* Download grammarly for Word or/and you could use the proofing tools in MS Word
* Try to use short and uncomplicated language as well as sentences in order to enhance the readibility
* Discuss and agree on what is good for everyone to work on, whether it makes sense and give constructive feedback ideally well before the last proofread so that there is enough time to make big changes
* Don’t use passive voice a lot, avoid it where possible, it makes easier to understand
* Use APA citation
* You could categorize some variables (e.g. bachelors and masters students together or employed and self-employed ones)
* Be careful about the measurement scales and the graphs you use (refer to the slide and examples)

**Feedback on our own SWP**

* Good job in general, well-structured
* You could write some things about the for the graphs of the questions 1 to 6, maybe talk about them and say why you included them there etc.
* Avoid screenshots (make a table if you need to)
* It is nice that you tried to find some interdependencies (e.g. Berlin Rome safety levels comparision with regard to genders) but you could also search for wider insights that cover more cities or all cities using correlation or linear regression model. For example, you could look for to what extent genders feel safe in all the cities and compare them.
* She didn’t really understand what was being tried to look for with the behavioral analysis of the low budget travellers